

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Frederick J. Kelly 3971 10/643,337 08/19/2003 7590 **EXAMINER** 02/18/2004 Frank A. LaViola, Jr. VALENTI, ANDREA M **Cameron Fabricating Corporation** PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** PO Box 478 Horseheads, NY 14845 3643

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/643,337		KELLY, FREDERICK J.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	-	Andrea M. Valer	ıti	3643	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cove	r sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	Idress
P riod fe	, •				
THE - External after aft	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In Six (6) MONTHS fro	136(a). In no event, how bly within the statutory mined will apply and will expire the cause the application to	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the o become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely ne mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	August 2003.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	its have been rece	eived. eived in Applicatio	n No	Stage
	•	_		in this National	Stage
* (application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
·					
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗆	Interview Summary (F	PTO-413)	
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e	2.450
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) 6)	Notice of Informal Part Other:	tent Application (PTC	<i>J</i> -152)

Application/Control Number: 10/643,337

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0033750 to Gunderman et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,209,258 to Schneider.

Regarding Claim 1, Gunderman et al teaches a plant support device for aiding in supporting climbing plants, said device ComprlsIng: a plurality of uprights (Gunderman #16), each of said uprights having a profile, each of said uprights having two ends, one of said ends being a bent end having multiple bends to form a substantially horizontal portion and a vertical portion (Gunderman #18 and 19), a plurality of hoops (Gunderman #14 and 12),

Gunderman is silent on a removable clamping means for the hoops. However, Schneider teaches each of said hoops having a plurality of clamping mechanisms for attaching said hoops to said plurality of uprights (Schneider Fig. 8), said clamping mechanisms having a first part attached to said hoop and a second fitted part which is shaped to conform to the profile of said uprights (Schneider Fig. 8 #42), said clamping mechanisms having securing means (Schneider Fig. 8 #44) for attaching said second fitted part to said first part whereby said hoops are removeably attached to said uprights

Application/Control Number: 10/643,337

Art Unit: 3643

forming a supporting structure for plants. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Gunderman et al with the teachings of Schneider at the time of the invention since the modification is merely the selection of an old and well-known alternate equivalent means of hoop attachment for the ability to adjust the hoop height and frequency to meet the support needs of a different variety of plants.

Regarding Claim 2, Gunderman as modified teaches the uprights have a length and a plurality of holes along said length, said holes being arranged in a line along said length and spaced a predetermined distance apart (Schneider Fig. 3 #36).

Regarding Claim 3, Gunderman as modified teaches the clamping mechanisms have a knob, said knob being sized and shaped to correspondingly engage said plurality of holes in said uprights to lockingly attach said hoops to said uprights when said securing means are tightened (Schneider Fig. 8 #44 and Fig. 9).

Regarding Claim 4, Gunderman as modified teaches the securing means are hardware to attach said first part and said second fitted part together (Schneider #44).

Regarding Claims 5 and 14, Gunderman as modified teaches the one bent end is of greater dimension than the other end whereby the greater dimension provides a more stable base for attachment to the ground and prevents tipping of the support device and plant in a moderate wind (Gunderman Fig. 1 #18 and 19).

Regarding Claim 6, Gunderman as modified teaches the hoops are removeably attached to said uprights and can be separated by removing said securing means (Schneider Fig. 9 #46).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,337

Art Unit: 3643

Regarding Claim 7, Gunderman as modified inherently teaches the number of said clamping mechanisms on each hoop corresponds to the number of uprights (Schneider Fig. 8 and 9).

Regarding Claim 8, Gunderman as modified teaches the uprights are of a set length and made of a single unitary construction, and each of said hoops are made of a single unitary construction (Gunderman Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 9, Gunderman as modified teaches the hoops are made in a plurality of geometric shapes and sizes to accommodate various size and shaped plants (Schneider Fig. 10A-C).

Regarding Claim 10, Gunderman as modified teaches the uprights are made of several smaller individual pieces interconnected to form a maximum length and each of said hoops is made of smaller portions interconnected to form various shapes and dimensions (Schneider Fig. 2 #16 and Figs. 10A-C).

Regarding Claims 11, 12, and 13, Gunderman as modified teaches the uprights, hoops and clamping mechanisms are made of plastics, metal, or fiber glass (Schneider Col. 5 lines 18-22).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Japanese Patent JP2001320976A; German Patent DE 03433374; German Patent DE 3009354A; Japanese Patent JP2001340029; Japanese Patent JP09028202; Japanese Patent JP 10313699A; U.S. Patent No. 4,561,208; U.S. Patent

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/643,337

Art Unit: 3643

No. 4,860,489; U.S. Patent No. 3,061,976; U.S. Patent No. 1,536,679; and U.S. Patent No. 4,534,129.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Valenti whose telephone number is 703-305-3010. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5pm M-F; Alternating Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> andrea M. Walente Andrea M. Valenti

Examiner Art Unit 3643

Vita m Por

03 February 2004

Peter M. Poon

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 3600